



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,710	12/03/2003	William Samuel Herz	NVID-077/00US 140060-2154	6902
23419 7590 11/27/2009 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTN: Patent Group Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW Washington, DC 20001			EXAMINER ZHAO, DAQUAN	
			ART UNIT 2621	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/27/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/727,710	Applicant(s) HERZ, WILLIAM SAMUEL	
	Examiner DAQUAN ZHAO	Art Unit 2621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 November 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/11/2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/11/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

3. Applicant argues Sturgeon et al fail to teach or suggest the coordination of multiple presentation settings with respective portions of an audio/video program and selective application of presentation settings to those portions of the audio/video program. The examiner disagrees.

Sturgeon et al teach multiple presentation settings, for example, column 6, lines 9-26 teach alternate audio tracks, multiple languages, parental level information, et cetera. User(s) can change these setting during the playback of the video title, See abstract, and column 4, lines 20-30. Therefore, in the system of Sturgeon et al, there's presentation settings corresponding to sessions of the DVD title that has been played back and there's new presentation settings for the current or future playback sessions. Although **these presentations settings in Sturgeon et al are applied to different**

Art Unit: 2621

sessions or portion of the DVD title at different time (during playback), the claim is broad enough to read on the teaching of Sturgeon et al.

A copy of the previous action is provided below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sturgeon et al (US 6,064,385), hereinafter referenced as Sturgeon and further in view of Schoner et al (US 6,493,506 B1), hereinafter referenced as Schoner.

For claim 1, Sturgeon teach an apparatus to process an audio/video program, comprising:

a preferences module configured to
coordinate specification, by a first user, of a first set of presentation settings with
a first set of portions of said audio/video program and with said first user, and
coordinate specification, by a second user, of a second set of presentation
settings with a second set of portions of said audio/video program and with said second
user (e.g. abstract, column 4, lines 20-31, the examiner considers that the "current user
preference value" and the "new preference value" can be set by the first user and the

Art Unit: 2621

second user, respectively. It is an inherent feature that the system of Sturgeon can be used by different users to change the set up value during playback); and

a presentation module coupled to said preferences module, said presentation module being configured to,

during subsequent playback of said audio/video program for said first user, selectively apply said first set of presentation settings to said first set of portions of said audio/video program, and selectively apply said second presentation setting to said second portion of said audio/video program (e.g. column 4, lines 31-41).

during subsequent playback of said audio/video program for said second user, selectively apply said second set of presentation settings to said second set of portions of said audio/video program (e.g. column 4, lines 31-41).

Sturgeon fails to teach attribute of the user and based on said attribute of user, retrieve said presentation setting. Schoner teaches Sturgeon fails to teach attribute of the user and based on said attribute of user, retrieve said presentation setting (e.g. abstract, column 3, lines 21-30 user identification field corresponds to the claimed “attribute” of user; paragraph 31 of the instant application describes the user attribute as the name of the user, which is the id of the user). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Schoner into the teaching of Sturgeon to allow user conveniently view presentation without having to reselect viewing and listening preferences (Schoner, column 2, lines 5-16).

Art Unit: 2621

For claim 3, Sturgeon teaches at least one of said first set of presentation setting and said second set of presentation settings corresponds to one of an audio setting and a display setting (e.g. column 8, lines 51-65).

For claim 4, Sturgeon teaches said audio setting corresponds to one of an audio channel setting, an audio field setting, an audio format setting, a dynamic range setting, a language setting, a pitch setting, a playback speed setting, a tone setting, and a volume setting (e.g. column 4, lines 31-41).

For claim 5, Sturgeon teaches said display setting corresponds to one of a brightness setting, a black and white setting, a contract setting, a color setting, a fast motion setting, a flicker setting, a gamma setting, a hue setting, a letter box setting, a non-square zoom setting, a pan and scan setting, a pillar-box setting, a pixel blurring setting, a pixel sharpening setting, a red-eye setting, a slow motion setting, and a zoom setting (e.g. column 4, lines 31-41).

For claim 6, Sturgeon teaches preference module is configured to coordinate storage of said first set of presentation settings for said first user, and said preferences module is configured to coordinate storage of said second set of presentation settings for said second user (e.g. column 4, lines 20-31).

For claim 7, Sturgeon teaches a memory coupled to said preferences modules and said presentation module, said memory being configured to store said first set of presentation setting for said first user and said second set of presentation setting for said second user (e.g. column 7, lines 25-40).

For claim 8, Sturgeon teaches coordinate said specification of said first set of presentation settings with said first set of portions of said audio/video program based on input by said first user during initial playback of said audio/video program e.g. abstract, column 4, lines 20-31).

For claim 9, Sturgeon teaches coordinate said specification of said first set of presentation settings with said second set of portions of said audio/video program based on input by said second user during initial playback of said audio/video program e.g. abstract, column 4, lines 20-31).

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sturgeon and Schoner as applied to claims 1, 3-9 above, and further in view of Durden et al (US 2004/ 0,261,099 A1).

see the teaching of Sturgeon and Schoner above.

For claim 2, Sturgeon and Schoner fail to teach a time stamp. Durden et al teach a time stamp (paragraph [0072]). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Durden et al into the teaching of Sturgeon and Schoner for user to easily modify the video.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daquan Zhao whose telephone number is (571) 270-1119. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 -5, alt Fri. off.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tran Thai Q, can be reached on (571)272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Daquan Zhao/

Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Thai Tran/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621